

Review Slides 5

The Bureaucracy and Judiciary

Spring, 2019

The Bureaucracy...

... is so gosh-darned important b/c it implements the policies set by Congress and the president. The Bureaucracy —

- Writes regulations
- Issues fines
- Testifies before Congress
- Is part of “iron triangles” and “issue networks”

Bureaucracy includes

- **Cabinet** departments (quasi-legislative (Q-L) and quasi-judicial (Q-J))
 - Broad mission — defense, education, etc.; political focus
- **Independent regulatory commissions** (Q-L and Q-J)
 - Usually protect part of economy (ex: the Fed, SEC)
- **Executive agencies** (neither Q-L nor Q-J)
 - Narrow focus, not political (ex: NASA)
- **Gov't corporations** (neither Q-L nor Q-J;
 - Privately owned, performing public function; not political (ex: Fannie Mae)

The Bureaucracy is designed to be...

... professional, neutral, specialists. The following are intended to help achieve that:

- Pendleton Act
- Office of Personnel Management
- Merit Systems Protection Board

Political patronage still exists at highest levels and can compromise effectiveness.

Relations with Congress

Congress delegates much power to bureaucracy. Why?

- Congress lacks time
- Congress lacks expertise
- Congress wants plausible deniability

Congress can check bureaucracy by —

- Power of the purse
- Oversight hearings
- Changing agency's jx or reversing its actions
- Abolish agency altogether

Relations with the President

President can —

- Appoint agency heads (subject to A&C)
- Reorganize an agency
- Issue executive orders
- Influence the budget of an agency
- Through OMB, review regs (cost-benefit analysis)

The president has lots of control over agencies but perhaps less than one might expect. Why?

- Agency heads might become agency advocates
- Expertise is in career employees. They outlast presidents.
- Other actors (namely, Congress) try to influence agencies, too.

Bureaucrats' checks

- Congress and president need agencies' expertise for effective implementation of statutes.
- Bureaucrats can affect how a statute gets implemented through —
 - regulations
 - interpretations (“street-level bureaucrat”)
 - enforcement actions

Relations with the public

The public checks through —

- FOIA requests
- Open Meeting Law/Sunshine Act
- Administrative Procedures Act (allows people to comment on proposed regs)
- Lawsuits
- Complaining to Congress or White House.

The Judiciary

First, the structure

- **Dual court system** — Federal and state gov'ts have separate courts
- Federal system
 - Article III courts (a/k/a “constitutional courts”):
 - District Courts (94; original jx; not required by Constitution)
 - Appeals Courts (13; appellate jx only; not required)
 - USSC (1; almost all appellate jx; required by Constitution)
 - Article I courts, a/k/a “legislative courts”
 - Courts — like bankruptcy, tax, maritime — to help Congress.
- Our courts are based on “**adversarial system**”

Powers

- Ultimately, the power of persuasion (see, e.g., Federalist #78). Courts need to protect their legitimacy lest orders be ignored. That said...
- ...courts have final say on what is legal, binding, and constitutional...
- ... thanks to *Marbury v. Madison*. USSC has power of “judicial review,” which is the power to declare something unconstitutional.
- Opinions can be a source of “unfunded mandates” (think *Brown v. Board of Education*).

Judicial philosophy

- How a judge rules depends in part on judicial philosophy. All judges look at literal language. Difference arises when language is ambiguous.
 - **Judicial restraint** (a/k/a strict constructionist): what did drafters understand the words to mean
 - **Judicial activism** (a/k/a loose constructionist): what was the intent of the drafters
- Other influences on a judge:
 - Stare decisis
 - Precedents of superior court

Getting a case into federal court

- Need —
 - “diversity” (parties from different states + \$75k in dispute) or
 - federal Q (about Constitution, treaty, or fed’l statute or reg)
- Need “**justiciability**” (courts must be able to provide adequate remedy). So no case, for instance, that —
 - is moot (i.e., the dispute has been settled)
 - is hypothetical
 - involves wrong party
 - involves political question

How cases get before USSC

- Petition for **writ of certiorari**
 - Rule of 4
- Reasons for granting cert
 - Split in the circuits the #1 reason
- Types of decisions: majority (a/k/a “opinion of the court”), concurrence, dissent, plurality

Appointment process

- Named by President
 - Will often use informal “**litmus tests**” — this is where judicial philosophy enters
 - Note role of “senatorial courtesy” and “blue slips”
- Subject to A&C of Senate (and ONLY the Senate!)
- Theoretically **insulated from politics** by
 - lifetime appointments during “good behavior” (can be impeached, but very, very rare)
 - salaries that cannot be reduced
 - control over docket (at the Supreme Court level) — note that only about 1% of cert petitions are granted.
 - no lobbying (other than through briefs, oral argument, other formal steps)
- But note that judges go through very political nomination process

Checks

On the court by the other branches:

- President —
 - nominates (requires A&C of Senate);
 - grants pardons, thus effectively undoing what court did.
 - can ignore court order (not legally)
- Congress can —
 - change court's jx
 - change # of inferior courts
 - impeach and remove judges
 - amend Constitution
 - pass laws overturning non-constitutional decision.

On the other branches by the court:

- Declare laws/actions unconstitutional
- Force executive to turn over documents
- CJ presides over impeachment trial of president

On the court **by** the court:

- Stare decisis
- precedent

Review games

<http://jeopardylabs.com/play/presidential-jeopardy31>

<https://create.kahoot.it/create#/edit/151f8e5e-0ecb-426d-a3fa-e117ee841796/overview>

Or perhaps a little

